



CRAIG TOLLIVER

PARTNER

ctolliver@ccrglaw.com

DIRECT: (469) 587-7263

FAX: (214) 764-8392

BIOGRAPHY

Craig Tolliver has over eighteen years of experience in intellectual property and complex litigation matters, including patent litigation and enforcement, IP portfolio strategy, and detailed licensing negotiations.

These matters have ranged from big ticket “the company is at stake” patent lawsuits to strategic counseling. Mr. Tolliver has represented companies of all sizes, from among the largest companies in the U.S. to small start-up companies.

Mr. Tolliver has litigated cases spanning a wide variety of technologies, including software, hardware, medical equipment, semiconductor industries, financial technologies, mobile devices, and e-commerce.

Before joining Charhon Callahan Robson & Garza, Mr. Tolliver practiced with nationally recognized IP and technology-focused law firms. Mr. Tolliver practiced with McKool Smith for over eleven years, most of those years as a Shareholder.

Mr. Tolliver is licensed to practice in Texas, Colorado, and before the United States Patent & Trademark Office.

COURT ADMISSIONS

State of Texas

State of Colorado

United States Patent & Trademark Office

U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

EDUCATION

J.D., Vanderbilt Law School

B.S., University of Florida

PRESENTATIONS & PUBLICATIONS

How Many Bites at the Apple After a PTAB Challenge?, published on Law360, June 2017

Why Can't a Method Be Sold, Just Like Any Other Invention?, originally published on Law360, republished by The National Law Review, January 2017

Time for PTO to Allow Direct Claiming of “Computer Software”, originally published on Law360, republished by The National Law Review, December 2016

REPRESENTATIVE CASES

BMC SOFTWARE, INC.

V. SERVICENOW, INC.

Represented BMC in an infringement suit in the Eastern District of Texas against ServiceNow generally related to IT discovery, database management, and visualization technologies concerning the delivery of ITSM services.

PANOPTIS WIRELESS

TECHNOLOGY ET AL.

V. ZTE; PANOPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY ET AL. V. HUAWEI.

Represented PanOptis in infringement suits in the Eastern District of Texas against ZTE and Huawei generally related to standard-essential and implementation patents concerning LTE.

GOOD TECHNOLOGY

Represented Good Technology in several cases in multiple jurisdictions, including Northern California, against competing companies over smartphone data synchronization and security software.

MAGSIL & MIT

V. SEAGATE ET AL.

Represented the co-plaintiffs MagSil and MIT against the hard disk drive industry in Delaware in a patent case concerning pioneering read-head technology.

HNC SOFTWARE, INC.

V. PULSE EFT ASSOCIATION

Represented HNC Software in an infringement action in the Southern District of Texas involving financial card fraud detection.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE



GLOBAL SESSIONS V. TRAVELOCITY ET AL.

Represented Global Sessions against online retailers, including Amazon, Orbitz, and Travelocity, in an Eastern District of Texas patent infringement case regarding technology related to maintaining session state for a user of a website and managing a web system.

INDIVOS CORPORATION V. BIOMETRIC ACCESS CORPORATION

Represented Biometric Access Company in an infringement action in Northern California, and a related case in Texas, involving biometric identity verification and electronic financial transaction processing.

RAMBUS INC. V. HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC. ET AL.; RAMBUS INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. ET AL.

Represented Rambus in patent infringement actions in Northern California involving memory components, memory modules, and memory controllers.

ELONEX IP HOLDINGS, LTD. V. APPLE COMPUTER, INC.

Represented Apple in a multi-defendant patent infringement suit filed in Delaware against the computer industry involving power management and the VESA display standard.

RAMBUS INC. V. HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC. ET AL.; RAMBUS INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. ET AL.

Represented Rambus in patent infringement actions in Northern California involving memory components, memory modules, and memory controllers.

ELONEX IP HOLDINGS, LTD. V. APPLE COMPUTER, INC.

Represented Apple in a multi-defendant patent infringement suit filed in Delaware against the computer industry involving power management and the VESA display standard.